

LIPSCHITZ CONTINUITY OF HOLOMORPHIC MAPPINGS WITH RESPECT TO BERGMAN METRIC

SHAOLIN CHEN AND DAVID KALAJ

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we establish the sharp estimate of the Lipschitz continuity with respect to the Bergman metric. The obtained results are the improvement and generalization of the corresponding results of Ghatage, Yan and Zheng (Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 129: 2039-2044, 2000).

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Let $\mathbb{C}^n$ denote the Euclidean space of complex dimension n . Throughout this paper, we write a point $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ as a column vector in $n \times 1$ matrix form $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)^T$, where the symbol T stands for the transpose of vectors or matrices. For $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, the conjugate of z , denoted by $\bar{z}$, is defined by $\bar{z} = (\bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_n)^T$. For $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)^T \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we write $\langle z, w \rangle := \sum_{k=1}^n z_k \bar{w}_k$ and $|z| := \langle z, z \rangle^{1/2} = (|z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_n|^2)^{1/2}$. For $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)^T \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we set $\mathbb{B}^n(a, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z - a| < r\}$. Also, we use $\mathbb{B}^n$ to denote the unit ball $\mathbb{B}^n(0, 1)$ and let $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{B}^1$.

The class of all holomorphic functions from $\mathbb{B}^n$ into $\mathbb{C}^n$ is denoted by $H(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)$. Let $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{B}^n)$ be the automorphism group consisting of all biholomorphic self mappings of the unit ball $\mathbb{B}^n$.

For $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$, let

$$B(z) = \frac{(1 - |z|^2)I + A(z)}{(1 - |z|^2)^2}$$

be the Bergman matrix, where I is the $n \times n$ identity matrix and

$$A(z) = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \bar{z}_1 & \cdots & z_1 \bar{z}_n \\ & & \vdots \\ z_n \bar{z}_1 & \cdots & z_n \bar{z}_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

For a smooth curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^n$, let

$$\ell(\gamma) = \int_0^1 \langle B(\gamma(t))\gamma'(t), \gamma'(t) \rangle^{1/2} dt.$$

Date: March 30, 2017 *File:* Lip.con.tex.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 32A10; Secondary: 32A17, 32A35.

Key words and phrases. Holomorphic mapping, Lipschitz continuity, Bergman metric.

For any two points z and w in $\mathbb{B}^n$, let $\beta(z, w)$ be the infimum of the set consisting of all $\ell(\gamma)$, where γ is a piecewise smooth curve in $\mathbb{B}^n$ from z to w . Then we call β the *Bergman metric* in $\mathbb{B}^n$ (cf. [9]).

As in [4], the *pre-norm* $\|f\|_{\mathcal{P}(n,\alpha)}$ of $f \in H(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)$ is given by

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{P}(n,\alpha)} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}^n} D_f^{n,\alpha}(z),$$

where $\alpha > 0$ and

$$D_f^{n,\alpha}(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^{\frac{\alpha(n+1)}{2n}} |\det f'(z)|^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

Let $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}(n,\alpha)}$ be the class of all holomorphic mappings $f \in H(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)$ satisfying $\|f\|_{\mathcal{P}(n,\alpha)} < \infty$. In particular, $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}(1,\alpha)}$ is the classical family of α -Bloch functions (cf. [8, 9]).

For $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$, the *pseudo-hyperbolic distance* is defined as

$$\rho(z, w) = \left| \frac{z - w}{1 - \overline{w}z} \right|.$$

In particular, if $n = 1$, then, for $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$, $\tanh \beta(z, w) = \rho(z, w)$. In [3], Ghatage, Yan and Zheng showed that $D_f^{1,1}(z)$ is Lipschitz continuous with respect to the pseudo-hyperbolic metric, which is given in Theorem A below. For more details on this topic, see [1, 2, 5, 7].

Theorem A. ([3, Theorem 1]) *Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}(1,1)}$. Then, for all $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$,*

$$|D_f^{1,1}(z) - D_f^{1,1}(w)| \leq 3.31 \|f\|_{\mathcal{P}(1,1)} \rho(z, w).$$

Let φ be a holomorphic mapping of $\mathbb{B}^n$ into $\mathbb{B}^n$. For all $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}(n,\alpha)}$, let $C_\varphi : f \mapsto f \circ \varphi$ be a *pre-composition* operator. As an application of Theorem A, they proved

Theorem B. ([3, Theorem 2]) *Let φ be a holomorphic mapping of $\mathbb{D}$ into $\mathbb{D}$. If for some constants $r \in (0, 1/4)$, and $\varepsilon > 0$, for each $w \in \mathbb{D}$, there is a point $z_w \in \mathbb{D}$ such that*

$$\rho(\varphi(z_w), w) < r, \text{ and } \frac{1 - |z_w|^2}{1 - |\varphi(z_w)|^2} |\varphi'(z_w)| > \varepsilon,$$

then $C_\varphi : \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}(1,1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}(1,1)}$ is bounded below.

In this paper, by using a different method, we generalize Theorems A and B to several dimensional case and obtain the sharp estimate of the Lipschitz constant with respect to the Bergman metric.

Theorem 1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}(n,1)}$. Then, for $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{B}^n$,*

$$(1) \quad |D_f^{n,1}(z_2) - D_f^{n,1}(z_1)| \leq M(n) \|f\|_{\mathcal{P}(n,1)} [\tanh \beta(z_1, z_2)]^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

where $M(n) = (2 + n)^{\frac{1}{2n}} \left(\frac{n+2}{n+1}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{2n}}$. Moreover, the constant $M(n)$ in (1) cannot be replaced by a smaller number.

The following result is an application of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}(1,1)}$. Then, for $z \in \mathbb{D}$,*

$$(2) \quad (1 - |z|^2) \left(\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial z} D_f^{1,1}(z) \right| + \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} D_f^{1,1}(z) \right| \right) \leq \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2} \|f\|_{\mathcal{P}(1,1)}$$

and

$$(3) \quad |f''(z)| \leq \frac{\left(2|z| + \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2}\right) \|f\|_{\mathcal{P}(1,1)}}{(1 - |z|^2)^2}.$$

Moreover, the extreme functions $f(z) = \pm 3\sqrt{3}z^2/4$ show that the estimates (2) and (3) are sharp.

Applying Theorem 1, we get the following result which is an improvement of Theorem B.

Theorem 3. *Let φ be a holomorphic mapping of $\mathbb{B}^n$ into $\mathbb{B}^n$. Suppose that there is constants $0 < r < \frac{1}{M(n)} \left(\frac{n+2}{1+n}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that, for each $w \in \mathbb{B}^n$, there is a point $z_w \in \mathbb{B}^n$ satisfying $\tanh \beta(\varphi(z_w), w) < r^n$ and $|\tau_\varphi(z_w)| > \varepsilon$, where*

$$\tau_\varphi(z_w) = \left(\frac{1 - |z_w|^2}{1 - |\varphi(z_w)|^2} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2n}} |\det \varphi'(z_w)|^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

and $M(n)$ is defined as in Theorem 1. Then, for all $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}(n,1)}$, there is a constant $k(n, r, \varepsilon) > 0$ depended only on r, ε and n such that

$$\|C_\varphi(f)\|_{\mathcal{P}(n,1)} \geq k(n, r, \varepsilon) \|f\|_{\mathcal{P}(n,1)}.$$

The proofs of Theorems 1-3 will be presented in Section 2.

2. PROOFS OF THE MAIN RESULTS

We begin the section by recalling the following results which play an important role in the proofs of Theorem 1.

Lemma C. ([2, Lemma 1.1]) *For $x \in [0, 1]$, let*

$$\varphi(x) = x(1 - x^2)^{\frac{\alpha(n+1)}{2}} \sqrt{\alpha(1+n)+1} \left[\frac{\alpha(n+1)+1}{\alpha(n+1)} \right]^{\frac{\alpha(n+1)}{2}}$$

and

$$a_0(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha(1+n)+1}}.$$

Then φ is increasing in $[0, a_0(\alpha)]$, decreasing in $[a_0(\alpha), 1]$ and $\varphi(a_0(\alpha)) = 1$.

Theorem D. ([2, Theorem 1.2]) *Suppose that $f \in H(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)$ such that $\|f\|_{\mathcal{P}(n,\alpha)} = 1$ and $\det f'(0) = \lambda \in (0, 1]$. Then, for all z with $|z| \leq \frac{a_0(\alpha) + m_\alpha(\lambda)}{1 + a_0(\alpha)m_\alpha(\lambda)}$, we have*

$$(4) \quad |\det f'(z)| \geq \operatorname{Re}(\det f'(z)) \geq \frac{\lambda(m_\alpha(\lambda) - |z|)}{m_\alpha(\lambda)(1 - m_\alpha(\lambda)|z|)^{\alpha(n+1)+1}},$$

where $m_\alpha(\lambda)$ is the unique real root of the equation $\varphi(x) = \lambda$ in the interval $[0, a_0(\alpha)]$ and, φ and $a_0(\alpha)$ are defined as in Lemma C. Moreover, for all z with $|z| \leq \frac{a_0(\alpha) - m_\alpha(\lambda)}{1 - a_0(\alpha)m_\alpha(\lambda)}$, we have

$$(5) \quad |\det f'(z)| \leq \frac{\lambda(m_\alpha(\lambda) + |z|)}{m_\alpha(\lambda)(1 + m_\alpha(\lambda)|z|)^{\alpha(n+1)+1}}.$$

Moreover, the estimates of (4) and (5) are sharp.

Proof of Theorem 1. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\|f\|_{\mathcal{P}(n,1)} = 1$ and $B_f^{n,1}(z_2) \leq B_f^{n,1}(z_1)$. Let $\phi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{B}^n)$ such that $\phi(0) = z_1$ and $w = \phi^{-1}(z_2)$. For $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$, set $g = f(\phi(z))$. By [9, Proposition 1.21], we have

$$\tanh \beta(z_1, z_2) = \tanh \beta(\phi^{-1}(z_1), \phi^{-1}(z_2)) = \tanh \beta(0, w) = |w|.$$

Since

$$|\det \phi'(z)| = \left(\frac{1 - |\phi(z)|^2}{1 - |z|^2} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}},$$

we see that

$$(6) \quad |\det g'(0)|^{\frac{1}{n}} = |\det f'(\phi(0))|^{\frac{1}{n}} |\det \phi'(0)|^{\frac{1}{n}} = |\det f'(z_1)|^{\frac{1}{n}} (1 - |z_1|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2n}}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (7) \quad (1 - |w|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2n}} |\det g'(w)|^{\frac{1}{n}} &= (1 - |w|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2n}} |\det f'(\phi(w))|^{\frac{1}{n}} |\det \phi'(w)|^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ &= (1 - |w|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2n}} |\det f'(z_2)|^{\frac{1}{n}} \left(\frac{1 - |\phi(w)|^2}{1 - |w|^2} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2n}} \\ &= |\det f'(z_2)|^{\frac{1}{n}} (1 - |z_2|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 1. If $|\det g'(0)| = 0$, then it is obvious.

Case 2. Let $\det g'(0) = \lambda e^{i\theta}$, where $\lambda > 0$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$. Applying Theorem D (4) to $e^{-i\frac{\theta}{n}} g(z)$, for $|z| \leq \frac{a_0(1) + m_1(\lambda)}{1 + a_0(1)m_1(\lambda)}$, we have

$$(8) \quad \text{Re} (e^{-i\theta} \det g'(z)) \geq \frac{\lambda(m_1(\lambda) - |z|)}{m_1(\lambda)(1 - m_1(\lambda)|z|)^{n+2}},$$

where $m_1(\lambda)$ and $a_0(1)$ are defined as in Theorem D.

Subcase 2.1. $|w| \leq m_1(\lambda)$. It is easy to know that

$$(9) \quad m_1(\lambda) \leq \frac{a_0(1) + m_1(\lambda)}{1 + a_0(1)m_1(\lambda)}.$$

On the other hand, by calculations, we have

$$(1 - |w|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2n}} \geq (1 - |w|m_1(\lambda))^{\frac{n+1}{2n}} \geq (1 - |w|m_1(\lambda))^{\frac{n+2}{n}}$$

and

$$m_1^{\frac{1}{n}}(\lambda) - |w|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq (m_1(\lambda) - |w|)^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

which gives

$$(10) \quad m_1^{\frac{1}{n}}(\lambda) - \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2n}}(m_1(\lambda) - |w|)^{\frac{1}{n}}}{(1 - m_1(\lambda)|w|)^{\frac{n+2}{n}}} \leq |w|^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

By Lemma C and Theorem D, we have

$$(11) \quad m_1(\lambda)(1 - m_1^2(\lambda))\sqrt{2+n} \left(\frac{n+2}{n+1} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} = \lambda,$$

which, together with (6), (7), (8), (9) and (10), implies that

$$\begin{aligned} D_f^{n,1}(z_1) - D_f^{n,1}(z_2) &= |\det g'(0)|^{\frac{1}{n}} - (1 - |w|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2n}} |\det g'(w)|^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ &= \lambda^{\frac{1}{n}} - (1 - |w|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2n}} |\det g'(w)|^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ &\leq \lambda^{\frac{1}{n}} - \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2n}} \lambda^{\frac{1}{n}} (m_1(\lambda) - |w|)^{\frac{1}{n}}}{m_1^{\frac{1}{n}}(\lambda)(1 - m_1(\lambda)|w|)^{\frac{n+2}{n}}} \\ &= \left(\frac{\lambda}{m_1(\lambda)} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \left[m_1^{\frac{1}{n}}(\lambda) - \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2n}}(m_1(\lambda) - |w|)^{\frac{1}{n}}}{(1 - m_1(\lambda)|w|)^{\frac{n+2}{n}}} \right] \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\lambda}{m_1(\lambda)} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} |w|^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ &= M(n)(1 - m_1^2(\lambda))^{\frac{1}{n}} |w|^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ &\leq M(n)|w|^{\frac{1}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Subcase 2.2. $|w| > m_1(\lambda)$. Then, by (6), (7) and (11),

$$\begin{aligned} D_f^{n,1}(z_1) - D_f^{n,1}(z_2) &= |\det g'(0)|^{\frac{1}{n}} - (1 - |w|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2n}} |\det g'(w)|^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ &\leq \lambda^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ &= m_1^{\frac{1}{n}}(\lambda)(1 - m_1^2(\lambda))^{\frac{n+1}{2n}} M(n) \\ &< M(n)|w|^{\frac{1}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we prove the sharpness part. For $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$, let

$$f_\lambda(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^{z_1} \frac{\lambda(m_1(\lambda) - \xi)}{m_1(\lambda)(1 - m_1(\lambda)\xi)^{n+2}} d\xi \\ z_2 \\ \vdots \\ z_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

For any $\epsilon \in (0, M(n)]$, let

$$m_1(\lambda) = \min \left\{ \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{M(n)} \right)^{\frac{2n}{n+1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, M(n) \right\}.$$

Then, for $w' = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $w'' = (m_1(\lambda), 0, \dots, 0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|D_{f_\lambda}^{n,1}(w') - D_{f_\lambda}^{n,1}(w'')| &= \lambda^{\frac{1}{n}} \\
&= M(n)m_1^{\frac{1}{n}}(\lambda)(1 - m_1^2(\lambda))^{\frac{1}{n}} \\
&> \|f\|_{\mathcal{P}(n,1)} [\tanh \beta(w', w'')]^{\frac{1}{n}} (M(n) - \epsilon),
\end{aligned}$$

which shows that the constant $M(n)$ is sharp. The proof of this theorem is complete. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2. For $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{D}$, let $w = z + re^{i\theta}$. Then, by Theorem 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_f(z) &= \max_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi]} \left[\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{|D_f^{1,1}(z) - D_f^{1,1}(w)|}{r} \cdot \frac{r}{\rho(z, w)} \right) \right] \\
&= (1 - |z|^2) \max_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi]} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} D_f^{1,1}(z) \cos \theta + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} D_f^{1,1}(z) \sin \theta \right| \\
&= \frac{(1 - |z|^2)}{2} \left(\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} D_f^{1,1}(z) + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} D_f^{1,1}(z) \right| + \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} D_f^{1,1}(z) - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} D_f^{1,1}(z) \right| \right) \\
&= (1 - |z|^2) \left(\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial z} D_f^{1,1}(z) \right| + \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} D_f^{1,1}(z) \right| \right) \\
(12) \quad &\leq \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2} \|f\|_{\mathcal{P}(1,1)},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Lambda_f(z) = \max_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi]} \left(\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{|D_f^{1,1}(z) - D_f^{1,1}(w)|}{\rho(z, w)} \right).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial z} D_f^{1,1}(z) \right| + \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} D_f^{1,1}(z) \right| &= \left| -\bar{z}|f'(z)| + \frac{f''(z)\overline{f'(z)}}{2|f'(z)|}(1 - |z|^2) \right| \\
&\quad + \left| -z|f'(z)| + \frac{\overline{f''(z)}f'(z)}{2|f'(z)|}(1 - |z|^2) \right| \\
&\geq |f''(z)|(1 - |z|^2) - 2|z||f'(z)|,
\end{aligned}$$

which, together with (12), gives that

$$\begin{aligned}
|f''(z)|(1 - |z|^2) &\leq 2|z||f'(z)| + \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial z} D_f^{1,1}(z) \right| + \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} D_f^{1,1}(z) \right| \\
&\leq \frac{2|z||f\|_{\mathcal{P}(1,1)}}{1 - |z|^2} + \frac{\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2}\|f\|_{\mathcal{P}(1,1)}}{1 - |z|^2} \\
&= \frac{\left(2|z| + \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)\|f\|_{\mathcal{P}(1,1)}}{(1 - |z|^2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of this theorem is complete. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\|f\|_{\mathcal{P}(n,1)} = 1$. For $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$, it follows from Theorem 1 that there is a point $w \in \mathbb{B}^n$ such that

$$D_f^{n,1}(w) > 1 - \sigma$$

and

$$|D_f^{n,1}(w) - D_f^{n,1}(z)| \leq \left[M(n) \left(\frac{n+1}{n+2} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} + \sigma \right] [\tanh \beta(w, z)]^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

where

$$\sigma = \frac{1 - rM(n) \left(\frac{n+1}{n+2} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}}{2(1+r)} \text{ and } r < \frac{1}{M(n)} \left(\frac{n+2}{1+n} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

By the assumption, there is a point z_w such that

$$[\tanh \beta(\varphi(z_w), w)]^{\frac{1}{n}} < r < \frac{1}{M(n)} \left(\frac{n+2}{1+n} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

and $|\tau_\varphi(z_w)| > \varepsilon$, which imply that

$$\begin{aligned} D_{f_\lambda}^{n,1}(\varphi(z_w)) &\geq D_{f_\lambda}^{n,1}(w) - \left[M(n) \left(\frac{n+1}{n+2} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} + \sigma \right] [\tanh \beta(\varphi(z_w), w)]^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ &\geq 1 - \sigma - \left[M(n) \left(\frac{n+1}{n+2} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} + \sigma \right] r \\ &= 1 - rM(n) \left(\frac{n+1}{n+2} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} - (1+r)\sigma \\ (13) \quad &= \frac{1 - rM(n) \left(\frac{n+1}{n+2} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}}{2} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

By (13), we conclude that

$$\|C_\varphi(f)\|_{\mathcal{P}(n,1)} \geq D_{f_\lambda}^{n,1}(\varphi(z_w)) |\tau_\varphi(z_w)| > k(n, r, \varepsilon),$$

where

$$k(n, r, \varepsilon) = \frac{\left[1 - rM(n) \left(\frac{n+1}{n+2} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right] \varepsilon}{2}.$$

The proof of this theorem is complete. $\square$

Acknowledgement: This research of the first author was partly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11401184 and No. 11571216), the Construct Program of the Key Discipline in Hunan Province, the Science and Technology Plan of Hunan Province (2016TP1020), the Fifty-ninth Batch

of Post Doctoral Foundation of China (No. 2016M590492) and the Post Doctoral Foundation of Jiangsu Province (No. 1601182C).

REFERENCES

1. H. H. Chen and P. Gauthier, Boundedness from below of composition operators on α -Bloch spaces, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **52**(2008), 195–204.
2. S. L. Chen, S. Ponnusamy and X. T. Wang, Landau-Bloch constants for functions in α -Bloch spaces and Hardy spaces, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory*, **6**(2012), 1025–1036.
3. P. Ghatage, J. Yan and D. Zheng, Composition operators with closed range on the Bloch space, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **129**(2000), 2039–2044.
4. X. Y. Liu, Bloch functions of several complex variables, *Pacific J. Math.*, **152**(1992), 347–363.
5. K. Madigan and A. Matheson, Compact composition operators on the Bloch space, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **347**(1995), 2679–2687.
6. W. Rudin, Function theory in the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1980.
7. C. J. Xiong, On the Lipschitz continuity of the dilation of Bloch functions, *Period. Math. Hung.*, **47**(2003), 233–238.
8. K. Zhu, Bloch type spaces of analytic functions, *Rocky Mt. J. Math.*, **23**(1993), 1143–1177.
9. K. Zhu, Spaces of holomorphic functions in the unit ball, Springer, New York, 2005.

S. L. CHEN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOOCHOW UNIVERSITY, SUZHOU, JIANGSU 215006, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HENGYANG NORMAL UNIVERSITY, HENGYANG, HUNAN 421008, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA.

E-mail address: mathechen@126.com

D. KALAJ, FACULTY OF NATURAL SCIENCES AND MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MONTENEGRO, CETINJSKI PUT B. B. 81000 PODGORICA, MONTENEGRO.

E-mail address: davidk@t-com.me